

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4850-2019
Date of decision:25.02.2019

Vikram Singh

...Petitioner(s)

V/s.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI.

Present: Mr. A.K. Viridi, Advocate for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral).

The case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Assistant Engineer being a degree holder was recommended on 16.06.2010/22.06.2010 (Annexure P-4). A perusal of this order shows that 04 following degree holder Junior Engineers were recommended for promotion to the post of Assistant Engineers:-

1. O.P. Bishnoi, J.E.-I
2. Tej Pal Singh, JE-I
3. Sankatha Parsad Singh, JE
4. Vikram Singh, JE-petitioner.

And after recommendation, the Managing Director was to consider, approve the promotion and thereafter issue posting orders. This recommendation was made on 16.06.2010/22.06.2010 (Annexure P-4). On 21.07.2010 (Annexure P-5), the Managing Director, DHBVN has approved the suitability of the petitioner alongwith other three candidates and posting orders were issued with immediate effect.

The grievance of the petitioner now is that he had a right to be given promotion w.e.f. 22.06.2010 i.e. the date when he was recommended for promotion. His claim is liable to be rejected since as per the regulation, it was Managing Director who was competent to approve the

recommendation made on 22.06.2010 (Annexure P-4) and issued posting order. The petitioner has no right to claim the promotion from the date when he was recommended since the procedure of getting the approval is mandatory as per the regulation as is done way back on 22.06.2010. Even this writ petition has been filed after a gap of more than 08 years.

No merit.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

25.02.2019
Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No