

204

(1) CRM-M No.7785 of 2019

....Petitioner.

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

(2) CRM-M No.5777 of 2019

....Petitioner.

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

■■■■

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate, for the complainant.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Present petitions under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code have been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, namely Sandeep Vohra and Dhaminder Kumar in case F.I.R. No.65 dated 02.11.2018 under Sections 307, 148 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Zira, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel representing the petitioners contended that if both the petitions for anticipatory bail are taken into consideration, no role is attributed to petitioner Dhaminder Kumar atleast and the attribution of causing gun shot injury is attributed to petitioner Sandeep Vohra.

Learned counsel representing the respondent-State, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, contended that both the petitioners were actively involved in the commission of offence and their custodial interrogation is required as recovery of weapon is still to be effected.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the considered opinion that since both the petitioners were actively involved in the commission of offence and their custodial interrogation is required for effecting recovery of weapon used in the commission of offence, no case is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Hence, both the petitions are dismissed.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

15.03.2019

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No