

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2315 of 1996 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 19.08.2019.

Mohinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

CM No.10552-CWP of 2019

Allowed as prayed for. Copies of promotion orders dated 28.10.2011 and 10.10.2013 are taken on record as Annexure P/8 and P/9 respectively.

CWP No.2315 of 1996

1. Learned senior counsel states that the petitioner was appointed as Helper vide order Annexure P/6 dated 12.07.1995 but subsequently vide order Annexure P/7 dated 02.02.1996, status of the petitioner was changed from regular to contractual basis, whereupon the petitioner challenged the aforementioned order leading to passing of order dated 14.02.1996, staying the operation of order Annexure P/7 dated 02.02.1996. Thereafter, the petitioner was promoted from the post of Helper to Assistant Welder vide order Annexure P/8 dated 28.10.2011 w.e.f. 01.10.2006 and from the post

of Assistant Welder to Welder, vide order Annexure P/9 dated 10.10.2013.

Learned counsel states that in the circumstances the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

2. Learned Sr. DAG states that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, he has no objection if the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

19.08.2019
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No