

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R.M-M No.7915 of 2019

Date of Decision : 01.03.2019

Gaurav

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, D.A.G, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner due to forfeiting of bail bonds and surety bonds in pending case in FIR No.904 dated 14.11.2015 registered under Sections 420, 468, 201, 216, 120-B IPC and Section 66 of the I.T. Act and Sections 7, 8, 13, 49, 88 of the P.C. Act at Police Station City Jind.

The contention of the counsel is that in this case there are 23 accused. The petitioner had earlier sought exemption in the year 2017 but on this occasion when he sought exemption the application was declined and his bail bonds was cancelled.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has argued that in the impugned order it is mentioned that the accused persons had also previously

availed of various exemptions and they have been warned that their presence would not be exempted.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner undertakes not to seek exemption on any ground during the further trial.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, the petition is disposed of. Let the petitioner appear before the trial Court who shall release him on bail to its satisfaction if it is correct that prior to this date the petitioner sought only one exemption.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 01, 2019
ashish

(**AJAY TEWARI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No