

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2313 of 1996 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 19.08.2019.

Dilbag Singh ...Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

CM No.10589-CWP of 2019

Allowed as prayed for. Copies of promotion orders dated 05.06.2008 and 06.08.2012 are taken on record as Annexure P/8 and P/9 respectively.

CWP No.2313 of 1996

1. Learned senior counsel states that the petitioner was appointed as Helper-Mechanic vide order Annexure P/6 dated 12.07.1995 but subsequently vide order Annexure P/7 dated 02.02.1996, status of the petitioner was changed from regular to contractual basis, whereupon the petitioner challenged the aforementioned order leading to passing of order dated 14.02.1996, staying the operation of order Annexure P/7 dated 02.02.1996. During the pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner applied for the post of Service Station Incharge by way of direct recruitment and on

the recommendation of Haryana Staff Selection Commission, the petitioner was offered appointment as Service Station Incharge on regular basis vide order Annexure P/8 dated 05.06.2008, whereafter the petitioner was further promoted to the post of Foreman vide order Annexure P/9 dated 06.08.2012. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

2. Learned Sr. DAG states that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, he has no objection if the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

19.08.2019

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No