

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

143

**Civil Writ Petition No.4534 of 2019
Date of decision: February 20, 2019**

M/s Rajan Agricultural Implements and another

....Petitioners

versus

District Magistrate, Sangrur and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The challenge in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is to the order dated 18.01.2019 (**Annexure P-7**) issued by the District Magistrate, Sangrur-respondent No.1 vide which the application filed by respondent No.3 has been allowed. Further prayer has also been made to direct respondents No.1 and 2 to decide the objections filed by the petitioner in the application filed by respondent No.3 under Section 14 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcements of Security Interest Act, 2002.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submitted that the petitioners have already approached the concerned Bank by moving a representation which is under active consideration. Accordingly, learned counsel prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to pursue the aforesaid representation. Liberty was sought by the petitioners to approach this Court again in case the representation of the petitioners is rejected.

- 3. Dismissed as withdrawn.
- 4. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies to challenge rejection of their representation, if any, as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 20, 2019
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No