

220.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7616-2019

Date of decision:13.03.2019.

ARUN

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Jarnail S. Saneta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

This is second petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.34 dated 15.05.2017 registered under Sections 323, 376, 506, 34 of IPC at Police Station Women, Panipat, District Panipat.

The earlier petition filed by the petitioner for grant of regular bail was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 04.10.2018 in CRM-M-49379-2017 tilted as "Arun Versus State of Haryana".

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Seema, states that in the present case, all the prosecution witnesses except three PWs have been examined in the case and the remaining PWs are officials i.e. one is Investigating Officer and two doctors. The case is now fixed for 27.03.2019.

In view of advance stage of trial, this Court does not find any reason to admit the petitioner on bail.

Dismissed.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

13.03.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.