

CRM-M-9553-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9553-2019

Date of Decision:08.05.2019

Sukhjeewan Singh @ Sony Jhabbar Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Shubhashish Kukreti, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.08 dated 04.08.2018 under Sections 420 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, Bathinda.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per prosecution version, due to the accident caused by son of the complainant Harjasan Preet Singh on 06.08.2017, one person died and he was granted bail at the spot. Later

CRM-M-9553-2019

on Section 304 IPC was added, due to which, it was compulsory for him to appear. Thereafter, the present petitioner met the complainant and stated that he knew CBI Inspector Raj Kamal and he will get them bail. As per prosecution version, the accused took ₹13 lakh from the complainant party. On 21.01.2018, an amount of ₹3,50,000/- was got deposited in the account of co-accused through RTGS.

The petitioner is in custody since 04.08.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

08.05.2019

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No