

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10356-2017

Date of decision: 30.05.2019

Vinay

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Mr. RK Makkar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Despite the case having been called twice, the petitioner has gone un-represented.

Perusal of the file shows that since 14.09.2018, despite the instant case having been taken up for hearing thrice, the petitioner had gone un-represented.

Therefore, it can safely be presumed that neither the petitioner nor his counsel is interested in pursuing the instant petition.

The same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

May 30, 2019
rishu

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No