

219
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4324-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.7.2019

Pardeep

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Nitish Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

Petitioner has challenged the order dated 17.1.2019 (Annexure P-2), passed by the Commissioner, Gurugram Division, Gurugram vide which an application moved by the petitioner seeking parole for four weeks for house renovation was declined.

Reply by way of affidavit of Jai Kishan Chhillar, Superintendent, District Jail, Gurugram on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 filed in Court today is taken on record which shows that petitioner was granted punishment of forfeiture of six days earned remission which was judicially appraised by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Gurugram. As per para 9 (ii) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Rules, 2007 “A convict who has been awarded a major jail punishment, his parole case shall be initiated after one year from the date of punishment”. Since the said one year is yet to elapse, case of the petitioner seeking parole has not been initiated.

It being so, present petition is dismissed at this stage.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

23.7.2019

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No