

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-10886 of 2018

Date of Decision: 23.05.2019

Malkeet Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

Criminal Misc. No.M-35096 of 2018

Angrej Singh & others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Rawat, Advocate
for respondent no.2 and 3.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of two petitions i.e. CRM-M-10886-
2018 *Malkeet Singh Vs. State of Punjab & others* and CRM-M-35096-
2018 *Angrej Singh & anr. Vs. State of Punjab & others*, filed under

Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of FIR

No.0054 dated 16.07.2016 under Sections 363, 366, 457, 380, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar (Rural). However, for brevity facts are being noticed from CRM-M-10886-2018.

Learned State counsel has submitted that during the course of investigation, offence under Sections 363, 366 IPC have been deleted vide DDR No.14 dated 19.10.2017 and challan has been presented on 08.10.2018 under Sections 380 and 457 and 120-B IPC.

This Court vide order dated 14.02.2019, on joint request of the parties had referred the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and accordingly, the parties have appeared before the mediator. As per the report dated 10.04.2019 of the mediator, the matter has been compromised between the parties. Complainant - Nishan Singh had authorized his son Jajmer Singh to participate in the mediation proceedings.

Counsel for the respondent no.2-complainant fairly submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

Learned State counsel also does not dispute the factum of compromise so effected between the parties.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise, as arrived between the parties. Thus, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings in the instant FIR.

Accordingly, following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of**

Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1252 (P&H), as approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others**, (2012)12 SCC 303, these petitions are allowed and FIR No.0054 dated 16.07.2016 under Sections 363, 366, 457, 380, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar (Rural) and the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed *qua* the petitioners, on the basis of compromise dated 10.04.2019.

May 23, 2019
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No