

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-10859 of 2018
Date of Decision : 22.04.2019**

Vijay**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana****...Respondent*****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.994 dated 17.09.2017, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and the allegations in the FIR are that co-accused Vikas had impersonated his brother Paramjit, who was a candidate for examination to the post of conductor. Petitioner has been arraigned as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Vikas that he had helped in solving the paper and had prepared forged ID Card in favour of Vikas reflecting him to be part of the checking staff. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that co-accused Vikas has already been released on regular bail. He also contends that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of interim order dated 14.03.2018.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajbir Singh, submits that although the petitioner has joined the investigation and has been released on interim bail but he is not cooperating in the investigation

and has not furnished the documents including ID Card. Mobile phone and two sim cards, are yet to be recovered from him.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner, however, contends that the investigating officer has been demanding illegal gratification from the petitioner which was recorded in the CD, on the basis of which inquiry is being conducted against the police officials and they have been placed under suspension.

Learned State counsel is not in a position to controvert that the investigating officer has been suspended during the pendency of the inquiry.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner has joined the investigation, interim order dated 14.03.2018 granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C..

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 22, 2019

rekha sharma

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes*

Whether reportable? *No*