

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P 4220 of 2019
Date of decision : 15.02.2019**

UrmilaPetitioner
versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. S.K. Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was appointed as Extension Lecturer in Maths on 10.03.2016 by duly constituted selection committee and she worked up to 25.07.2017. She was relieved on joining of regularly selected candidate. Her experience certificate is at Annexure P-1.

The grievance of the petitioner is that she has given representation dated 07.02.2019 (Annexure P-5) stating therein that she be allowed to join if workload is available. Reference has been made to letter dated 28.01.2019 (P-2) wherein at Sr. No. 390, requirement of one person is still there in Maths.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is being disposed of by giving direction to respondent No. 3 to look into representation dated 07.02.2019 (Annexure P-5) and pass appropriate orders, in view of letter dated 28.01.2019 (P-3) in accordance with law, w3ithin a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

February 15, 2019

G Arora

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable***

***Yes
No***