

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana  
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-7433-2019 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:-21.2.2019

Sandeep

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Hoshiar Singh Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.**(Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.334 dated 30.9.2018 at Police Station Sadar Jind, District Jind under Sections 201, 395 and 506 of Indian Penal Code.
2. The FIR was registered at the instance of Raj Kumar, wherein it has been alleged that he is working as a salesman at Arya Filling Station, Narwana Road, Jind and that on the day of occurrence three young men came on a motorcycle, who entered in his room and tied his hands, feet and mouth and locked him in a washroom and after breaking the glass of window of the adjacent room and by breaking lock of Godrej Almirah took away an amount of ₹56,000/-, a cheque book containing one blank signed cheque and a purse containing Aadhar Card, ATM Card, Driving License and Voter Card of the manager.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and has been nominated on the basis of a disclosure statement made by co-accused Shiv @ Shibhu.
4. Opposing the petition, the learned State counsel has submitted that since the co-accused Shiv @ Shibhu has specifically named the petitioner to be one of the accused, no case for grant of bail is made out. It has, however, been informed that investigation is complete and challan has already been presented although charges are yet to be framed. It has also been informed that there are as many as 15 cited prosecution witnesses.
5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and more particularly the fact that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last about four months and is not stated to be previous convict and challan has already been presented and that the trial in its normal course is likely to take some time for its conclusion, in my opinion, no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner behind bars any further. The petition, as such, is accepted. The petitioner Sandeep is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
6. The present petition stands accepted accordingly.

**21.2.2019**

pankaj

**(Gurvinder Singh Gill)  
Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned                      Yes / No

Whether Reportable                                      Yes / No