

218

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 649 of 2019
DECIDED ON: JULY 16, 2019

ANIL KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

RAJNARAYAN KAUSHIK, IAS

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Chandra Shekhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading willful disobedience of the order dated 20.12.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No. 207 of 2017.

The afore-said writ petition was disposed of in view of order passed in CWP No. 14830 of 2017, titled as “Ram Parsad and others vs. State of Haryana & others”. The relevant paragraph of the said judgment is reproduced below:-

“Accordingly, the present writ petitions are disposed of, with a direction to the competent authorities, to take into consideration the above-said decision of the State Government dated 21.11.2017 and process the case of the petitioners on individual basis and pass orders, accordingly, within a period of 4 months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. It will be open to respondent No.1 to

nominate a designated competent authority so that no contradictory orders are passed. Wherever the relief is to be granted, the benefits be paid forthwith, as per the decision of the State Government. In case the necessary relief is to be denied, speaking order be passed and communicated to the concerned petitioner(s)."

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of an affidavit of Maheshwar Sharma, Director Elementary Education, Haryana. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof, has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioner. Alongwith reply an order dated 12.06.2019 has been annexed as Annexure R-1.

Learned State counsel submits that the order of this court has been complied by passing an order dated 12.06.2019.

In view of above, no cause of action survives in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

The petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law in case he is aggrieved of the order dated 12.06.2019.

JULY 16, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>