

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4699-2019

Date of decision : 14.03.2019

**The Shaheed Udham Singh Kamboj,
Cooperative Transport Society Ltd.**

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.Navneet Kaur, Advocate
for Mr.G.S.Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sahil Sharma, DAG,Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is a Cooperative Transport Society Limited.

Case of the petitioner is that it would be eligible to submit tender for transportation of food grains for the year 2019-20 (Rabi season) as and when the same are invited.

The instant petition voices an apprehension that respondents No. 6 to 17 would not permit the society to participate in the tender process. Counsel would urge that respondents No. 6 to 17 are openly threatening the petitioner-society in such regard being members of the Truck Union, Moonak.

On a pervious date of hearing learned State counsel had been requested to complete instructions in the matter and a complete copy of the writ paper book had been duly furnished.

Mr.Sahil Sharma, learned DAG, Punjab has filed in Court today a response by way of affidavit dated 13.03.2019 of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Moonak, District Sangrur on behalf of respondents No. 3 to 5 and the same is taken on record. Copy has been furnished to the counsel opposite. Para 3 of the reply would be relevant to the issue at hand and reads as follows:-

“ That in reply to para no.3 of the petition it is submitted that the answering respondents have no information or complaint against respondents no. 6 to 17 regarding any alleged threat given by them to the petitioner. If any information of complaint is received by the answering respondents, legal action as per law will be taken against the wrong doer. Rest of para of the petition does not relate to answering respondents. The time has already been lapsed qua tender.”

In the light of such clear stand taken on behalf of the respondents no further directions are required to be passed in the instant writ petition.

Respondents, however, would be bound in terms of the averments contained in para 3 and as reproduced herein above.

Writ petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

March 14, 2019
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No