

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CRM-A-876-MA-2017

Date of Decision: January 07, 2019

Surjeet Singh

.....Appellant

versus

Parveen Kumar

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The appellant is the complainant. He had filed a complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, which has been dismissed by learned trial Court vide judgment dated 28.02.2017. Aggrieved therefrom, the present appeal has been filed.

A perusal of the impugned judgment shows that the complaint has been dismissed on the ground that the accused is not entity to which the money was allegedly advanced and the advancement of the money itself is not proved.

Learned counsel for the appellant has not been able to dislodge the aforementioned finding and thus, the appeal merits dismissal.

Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed.

January 07, 2019

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No