

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-10608-2016
Date of Decision : 17.10.2019

Urmila

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.S.Gill, Advocate for
Mr. M.S.Saini, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 9.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

Urmila-petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for challenging the order dated 27.01.2016 (Annexure P-2) passed by the trial Court whereby it has refused to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. Along with this, the Revisional Court order dated 11.02.2016 (Annexure P-4) is also challenged, whereby the order of trial Court was upheld.

The above orders are arising from the complaint under Sections 302, 120-B and 506 IPC (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the parties that the said complaint has been dismissed on 27.08.2019, whereby the trial Court after examining the pre-summoning evidence has refused to issue the process against the accused persons. The said order has been produced before the Court.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuouos.

Disposed off as such.

17.10.2019
anju

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No