

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No. 4293 of 2019

Date of Decision: February 22, 2019.

Mandeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Ramesh Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

Petitioner has impugned his transfer from Barnala to District Ludhiana. It has been vehemently argued before this court that it is a mid term transfer and against the policy Annexure P/5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the authority could not have violated the policy under any circumstances. Transfer of the petitioner has been ordered by the Director, Health & Family Welfare against a vacant post. It is evident that the transfer has been made as an administrative exigency and not as a measure of punishment. The order is not stigmatic in nature. As regards reliance of petitioner on the policy, law is well settled that the guidelines given therein are directory in nature. Petitioner cannot claim a fixed tenure at a place of posting only on the ground that policy lays down the same.

In view of above, petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

**(Rajan Gupta)
Judge**

February 22, 2019.

BB

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No