

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(121)

CWP-4149-2019

Date of Decision: February 15, 2019

Raj Kumari Gupta

.. Petitioner

Versus

Employee Provident Fund Organization and anr

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the prayer made by the petitioner is that the Provident Fund for which the petitioner is entitled for after the retirement from respondent No.2-School, is not being released without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as there was a litigation between the petitioner and the school, all the formalities are not being completed by the school so as to enable the petitioner to get the retiral benefits.

On the other hand, even the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner to whom a representation was made on 14.12.2018, no action has been taken so far for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case, a time bound direction is issued to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner to pass appropriate order on the representation submitted by the petitioner on 14.12.2018.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 14.12.2018, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner to decide the said representation within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

February 15, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No