

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230

CRM-M-7209-2019

Date of Decision:20.02.2019

Danish Siddiqi @ Dev

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, D.A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.236 dated 27.09.2018 under Sections 363, 366-A IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 14.10.2018. Statement of the prosecutrix was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. He further states that the prosecutrix met the petitioner at Delhi Station and in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C., there is no allegation of any sexual assault.

Learned State Counsel does not dispute the fact that there is no allegation of any sexual assault. However, he states that charge is yet to be framed and challan in the case has been presented.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 14.10.2018, trial in the case will take sufficient long time and there is no allegation of any sexual assault, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/heavy surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

Petitioner is not residing within the jurisdiction of this Court. The trial Court may impose any other reasonable condition as may deem fit, so as to secure the presence of the petitioner when required in the case.

February 20, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No