

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7116 of 2019.

Decided on:- March 20, 2019.

Gurpreet Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.PC is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.300 dated 23.11.2018 under Sections 323, 342, 354, 427, 506, 148 and 149 IPC registered at Police Station Sohana, District Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the location of the petitioner was somewhere in Ludhiana from 18.11.2018 to 20.11.2018. Therefore, he was not present at the place of incident at the relevant time i.e. on 18.11.2018 at about 11.30 P.M. Further, there is no allegation against the petitioner for committing the offence as alleged in the FIR.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has argued that specific allegations have been levelled against the petitioner and co-accused Punit

Moudgil and they have outraged the modesty of the complainant by touching her breasts with bad intention. Therefore, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perusing the contents of the FIR, wherein specific allegations have been levelled against the petitioner and co-accused Punit Moudgil, this Court finds that no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The argument of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was not present at the place where the alleged incident occurred, cannot be accepted at this stage.

Accordingly, the present petition, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed.

March 20, 2019
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No