

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7687 of 2019
Decided on: 23.05.2019**

Avtar Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.9 dated 22.01.2018 registered under Sections 21/25/29 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran.

The operative part of the order dated 20.02.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though, as per the secret information, the petitioner was named, however, the petitioner was not present at the spot and when the co-accused namely Satnam Singh @ Satta and Nirvail Singh were apprehended, the petitioner was named in the FIR. It is further submitted that the Innova car in which both the co-accused of the petitioner were travelling was also not owned by the petitioner. It is further argued by counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is presently residing abroad and intends to

return back to India and join the proceedings.
Notice of motion for 30.04.2019....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 20.02.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from Inspector Yadwinder Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 20.02.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

23.05.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No