

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1147 of 2019
Date of Decision: 15.02.2019**

BHUSHAN PIPLANI

.....Petitioner

Vs

SURINDER KUMARI

....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC was filed along with the suit. No order was passed by the trial Court and application to prepone the date of the said application was also filed, but till date the application in question has not been decided by the trial Court.

Learned counsel further submitted that the suit was filed in the year 2017 and now the case is fixed for 06.03.2019 before the trial Court for filing reply to the application.

In view of nature of prayer made in the revision petition, there is no necessity of issuing notice of motion to the

respondent as the same would further delay the disposal of the application by the trial Court.

[4]. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to make every sincere endeavour to decide the pending application either on the date fixed or within a period of three weeks thereafter.

February 15, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No