

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

266

**RA-CR-14-2019 in
FAO No.5959 of 2014**

Date of decision: 06.09.2019

Sonal Sharma and another

..... Appellants

Versus

Parveen Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. S.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent No.3.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer for review has been made on the ground that there is an arithmetical mistake in the tabulated compensation calculated.

Learned counsel for the appellants does not dispute that position. On account of future prospects, claimants were held entitled to 50% of the income, however, while making calculation, 100% was added.

Hence, the compensation payable in the table is re-worked as under:-

<i>Heads</i>	<i>Compensation awarded by the High Court</i>
Income	Rs.36,500/- per month
– Deduction	(1/3 rd) (Rs.12,166) = Rs.24,334/-
+ Future prospects	(50%) Rs.12,166/- 24,334 + 12,166 = Rs.36,500
Annual dependency	36500 x 12 = Rs.4,38,000/-
Income Tax Deduction	
Rs.1,80,000 exempted	Nil
Rs.1,80,000/- to Rs.5,00,000/- @ 10% + 3% cell	Rs.26,574/-
Total income after tax	(4,38,000 – 26,574) = Rs.4,11,426/-
Multiplier	4,11,426 x 16 = 65,82,816/-
Conventional Heads i) Loss of Estate ii) Funeral expenses iii) Consortium	Rs.15,000/- Rs.15,000/- Rs.40,000/- (Rs.70,000/-)
Total Compensation	(65,82,816 + 70,000) Rs.66,52,816/-
– already awarded by MACT	Rs.38,21,240 /-
Enhanced Compensation	(66,52,816 – 38,21,240) Rs.28,31,576/-

In view thereof, review application is disposed of.

06.09.2019
D.Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No