

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

226

**CRM-A-160-MA-2015(O&M)
Date of Order: 08.11.2019**

SANDEEP SINGH

..Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. G.K.Mann, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. SPS Tinna, Addl.A.G.,Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Special leave to appeal has been sought against the judgment of acquittal.

Learned court of Sessions has dismissed the complaint filed under Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 Act by recording as under:-

- (1) Complainant and his witnesses have failed to specify as to what were those ugly words uttered by the accused.
- (2) Complainant has admitted that his mother Parkash Kaur successfully contested panchayat election from Ward No.3 against accused Bhagwan Singh son of Kuldeep Singh, whereas accused Gurjant Singh contested panchayat election from Ward No.4 and accused Narinder Singh contested panchayat election from Ward No.5.
- (3) Accused were admittedly not armed with any weapons and did not cause any injury to the complainant or

Manpreet Singh and Narinder Singh.

- (4) Evidence that the words allegedly uttered amounted to insult or intimidation to the complainant has not been proved.

The learned court had taken a plausible and reasonable view.

Learned counsel for the appellant has made sincere attempt but failed to draw attention of the Court to any perversity or material irregularity.

Hence, no ground to grant special leave to appeal is made out.

Dismissed.

November 08, 2019
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE