

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 6827 of 2019

Date of Decision:-13.02.2019

Lovepreet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition seeking protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 as they were against the alleged marriage between the petitioners solemnized on 11.2.2019.

At this stage, Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate has put in appearance and filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.8- Surinder Singh, who is maternal uncle of petitioner No.1 and made a prayer that the parties be allowed to meet.

Petitioners and their counsel expressed no objection to the said proposal and accordingly the time was granted to hold a meeting. After

some time, the matter was again taken up again, wherein respondent No.8 has filed an affidavit, who expressed his no objection to the marriage allegedly entered into between the petitioners.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.2 is handicapped and other respondents are outside the Court and have threatened to take away the girl (petitioner No.1) with them.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copies of the petition have been handed over to him.

In view of the above, without attaching any sanctity and validity to the alleged marriage entered into between the petitioners, the possibility of threat cannot be ruled out.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to look into the representation (Annexure P-5) to assess the magnitude of the alleged threat and take necessary action to provide security etc. to the petitioners etc., if it is so required. This order shall not be treated as an impediment to any proceedings in case any FIR or complaint is pending against any of the parties.

Petition stands disposed off.

February 13, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No