

Harchand Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Punjabi University, Patiala and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present :- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Govind Goyal, Advocate for the respondents.
 * * *

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

1. The writ petition was filed in the year 1997 when the petitioner had sought a mandamus commanding the respondents to regularise his services or in the alternative allow him to work till any regular appointment is made on the post of Electrician. The petitioner at the relevant time was originally appointed as Electrician temporarily and had been working as such on daily wage basis since 1.5.1993.

2. While admitting the writ petition no interim stay or any order of the kind was granted in favour of the petitioner.

3. I am of the view that by sheer efflux of time relief sought by the petitioner has been rendered infructuous by lapse of more than 22 years. In all likelihood the petitioner would have moved on the greener pasture of life.

4. In the above premise, writ petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty to file an appropriate application, if so advised, in case any cause of action still survives.

October 17, 2019

Janki

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No