

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

C.W.P. No. 18148 of 1996
Date of decision:-8.4.2019

Suresh Kumar Gupta

Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

Coram Hon'ble Mr. Justice Lalit Batra

Present None for petitioner.
Mr. Manoj Dhankhar, AAG, Haryana for respondent No.1
None for respondents No. 2 and 3.

LALIT BATRA, J.

As reported by the Registry, though notice was sent to petitioner (Suresh Kumar Gupta) but the same has been received back unserved with the report that he has since expired.

A perusal of record of case reveals that previously notice of instant writ petition was sent to Sh. Sarwan Kumar Bansal, Advocate, wherein it was reported that said Advocate expired in the year 2014 and further it was reported on the notice that his associate Sh. Deepak Bansal, Advocate has joined Government service in the year 1997.

Despite the fact that case has been called out twice, there is no representation on behalf of petitioner. Even otherwise, no one has appeared on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3.

In view of above, since no one has appeared on behalf of petitioner, instant writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

It is pertinent to mention here that in case cause of action, if any, survives in instant matter, legal representatives of petitioner (since deceased) may move an appropriate application in accordance with law for restoration of this writ petition.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

April 8 ,2019
TSM

Whether speaking/ reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No