

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-A-2040-MA-2017 (O&M)
Date of Order:04.04.2019**

Jaspal Singh

..Appellant

Versus

Baljit Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Leave to appeal has been sought under Section 378(4) of the
Code of Criminal Procedure.

Learned trial court has noticed that the complainant has failed
to lead cogent evidence to prove the loan transactions. He has further failed
to prove his financial capacity to lend the amount of loan alleged and
signatures of the accused on front and reverse page of the cheque differs.

Learned counsel for the appellant, although, made sincere
attempt, however, could not draw attention of the court to any substantive
error in the judgment passed. Accordingly, no ground is made to grant
relief.

Leave to appeal declined.

**April 04, 2019
nt**

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No