

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-7763-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 22.05.2019

ZAKIR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.07 dated 15.01.2019, under Sections 419, 420, 406, 384 & 511 IPC, registered at Police Station Bichhore, District Nuh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that he had encashed blank cheques issued by the Sarpanch. The petitioner was helping the Sarpanch in his day to day activities. He, however, contends that it is not possible for the petitioner alone to have got the cheques encashed. It is highly improbable that blank cheques would be with the petitioner. Through these cheques, the payment is alleged to have been made to one Irfan Trading Company. The Sarpanch would also be liable for making payment and the Investigating Officer in order to help the Sarpanch is falsely implicating the petitioner.

The Superintendent of Police, Nuh has filed an affidavit wherein it is stated that the role of the petitioner is that the petitioner had withdrawn a sum

of Rs.9 lakh from the funds of the Gram Panchayat by forging the signatures of Sarpanch-Abbas on blank cheques. The petitioner has also wrongly and illegally retained with him the record of the Gram Panchayat without any authority. Even on the request having made to the petitioner to return the record, he has not done so. He also stated that he had impersonated the Sarpanch by indicating his name and photograph on the main entry gate of Village Gheeda. It is also further mentioned that the Sarpanch- Abbas has also been proceeded against under Section 409 IPC as he being a public servant had unauthorizedly handed over record of the Gram Panchayat Gheeda to the petitioner.

In view of the serious allegations against the petitioner for having embezzled the funds of the Gram Panchayat Gheeda by forging the signatures of the Sarpanch and the record is also to be recovered from him, I do not find it to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His custodial interrogation would be necessary in the matter.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

22.05.2019

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No