

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1122 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 26.2.2019

...

Vandana Arora

.....Petitioner

vs.

Surinder Mohan Sehgal (now deceased)
through Legal heirs and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr. S.S. Bains, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Aditi Sheoran, Advocate for respondents No.2, 3 and 16

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is feeling aggrieved by the order passed by the trail Court allowing the defendants to lead secondary evidence of the Will dated 10.9.1970 said to have been executed by Milkhi Ram Sehgal in favour of defendants No. 1 to 3, registered in the office of Sub-Registrar, Solan.

I have gone through the impugned order. The same is well reasoned and does not suffer from any illegality or infirmity. The Will being a registered document, allowing the defendants to prove it by secondary evidence, of course on fulfillment of legal requirements i.e. proof of loss and existence, is proper and appropriate. The plaintiff

shall not be prejudiced in any way and would get an opportunity to cross examine the witnesses and lead evidence in rebuttal. No ground to interfere in the impugned order is made out.

Dismissed.

26.2.2019
chugh

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No