

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-1147-MA-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 14.10.2019

Mukand Hari Marwaha

...Appellant

Versus

Baldev Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Pankaj Shukla, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Naresh Prabhakar, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-22282-2014

Delay of 830 days in filing the appeal is condoned, for the reasons stated in the application.

Application is allowed.

CRM-A-1147-MA-2014 (O&M)

Leave to appeal has been sought against the judgment of acquittal. Complainant has alleged that his father-in-law and two brothers-in-law have committed theft from his house.

Learned Judicial Magistrate after considering entire evidence found that the complainant has miserably failed to prove on record that the

accused have committed the offence punishable under Section 380 IPC.

Although, learned counsel for the appellant made sincere efforts, however, failed to draw attention of the Court to any perversity or substantive error either in appreciation of evidence or in application of law.

In view thereof, leave to appeal is declined.

Consequently, appeal is also dismissed.

14.10.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No