

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

205/2

CRR-1002-2004 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 26.09.2019

ANIL KUMAR AND ANR.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dev Kaushik, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have challenged the judgments and orders of the trial Court and the Appellate Court, whereby he has been convicted under Sections 326, 325, 323 and 324 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 3 years.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he is not challenging the conviction of the petitioners and would confine his prayer to reduction in the sentence to the period already undergone by them. He further contends that the incident was outcome of a sudden quarrel between close relatives. The petitioners are the first cousins of the complainant Parveen. All injuries were on non-vital parts of the body of Parveen except an injury on his head which was found to be blunt. The complainant has fully recovered from the injury. With the intervention of the relatives, the matter has been compromised. The petitioners and the complainant are residing peacefully at their native village after the incident which took place in the year 1994. There has been no untoward incident after their release on bail. The petitioners are

not involved in any other case. The matter has been compromised and

the parties are residing peacefully. Relations between the parties are cordial.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate which indicates that the petitioners No.1 and 2 have undergone sentence of 1 month, 20 days and 14 days respectively.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant states that the matter has now been compromised and it would be in the interest of lasting peace if the sentence of the petitioners is reduced to the period already undergone by them.

Heard.

The incident was a sudden quarrel between relatives. The injured have fully recovered from the injuries. The petitioners are not involved in any other case. There has been no untoward incident after the release of the petitioners on bail. The occurrence took place 25 years ago and the petitioners are facing criminal proceedings for 25 years. There are cordial relations between the parties who are now residing peacefully. The ends of justice would be met in the event of the sentence of the petitioners being reduced to the period already undergone by them.

Therefore, while maintaining the conviction, their sentence is reduced to the period already undergone by them.

With this modification, the petition stands disposed of.

26.09.2019

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No