

**CRM-M-6813-2019,
CRM-M-6834-2019 and
CRM-M-3515-2019**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 28.02.2019

1. CRM-M-6813-2019

Kawalpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-6834-2019

Shamsher Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

3. CRM-M-3515-2019

Baldev Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Bhatia, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-6813-2019 and
CRM-M-3515-2019.

Mr. Komalpaul Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-6834-2019.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

**CRM-M-6813-2019,
CRM-M-6834-2019 and
CRM-M-3515-2019**

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-6813-2019, filed by petitioner-Kawalpreet Singh, CRM-M-6834-2019, filed by petitioner-Shamsher Singh and CRM-M-3515-2019, filed by petitioners-Baldev Singh and Bikramjit Singh, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.173 dated 25.12.2018, registered at Police Station Sultanwind, District Amritsar, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in all these petitions. Learned State has counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested all these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

As per the FIR, the petitioners with pressure and undue influence got obtained the signatures of the complainant on blank and plain papers and also threatened to kill him etc. There is also allegation that agreement dated 04.05.2016 is illegal, null and void, forged and fabricated document as it has not been executed between Balwinder Singh and Shamsher Singh and no consideration amount etc. has been received. Similarly, it is mentioned in the FIR that Kawalpreet Singh, Manpreet Singh and Surinderpal Singh forged and fabricated the receipt dated 17.05.2017 regarding token money, which is illegal, null and void as the complainant had not received ₹4 lakh from Kawalpreet Singh.

**CRM-M-6813-2019,
CRM-M-6834-2019 and
CRM-M-3515-2019**

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 22.02.2019 passed in CRM-M-6813-2019 and CRM-M-6834-2019 and dated 25.01.2019 passed in CRM-M-3515-2019 by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. The case is based on documentary evidence. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioners. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending them to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in all these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 22.02.2019 passed in CRM-M-6813-2019 and CRM-M-6834-2019 and dated 25.01.2019 passed in CRM-M-3515-2019, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

28.02.2019
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No