

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230

CRM-A-2500-MA-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.08.2019

State of Haryana

..... Applicant

Versus

Kuldeep and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(ORAL)

CRM-39662-2018

For the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 110 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application is allowed.

CRM-A-2500-MA-2018

Leave to appeal has been sought against the judgment of acquittal dated 20.03.2018 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani.

It has been noticed by the learned trial Court that PW-8/Sonia was not an eye-witness of the occurrence. It was further found that PW-6/Nanhi and PW-7/Satwanti, the alleged injured had stated in Court that they had not suffered any injury and were declared hostile. The evidence of PW-3/Geeta and PW-4/Kamal was not found to be truthful and believable as there were material contradictions in their statements.

In view of the aforesaid findings, correctness whereof could not be disputed by learned counsel for the State, no ground to grant leave to appeal is made out.

Dismissed.

19.08.2019

D.Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No