

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-2498-MA-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.11.2019

Sukjinder Singh

...Applicant/appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. A.S. Jawandha, Advocate for the applicant/appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-39647-2018

For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 22 days in filing the present appeal is condoned.

Application is allowed.

CRM-A-2498-MA-2018 (O&M)

Special Leave to Appeal has been sought against the judgment of acquittal passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, while dismissing complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

Learned Court has recorded finding that the complainant has failed to prove its case. The date on which the cheque was given and who executed the cheque (filled up various columns meant for amount, date and name) have not been disclosed. There is no written contract for extending

the amount of ₹2,00,000/-.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, learned Judicial Magistrate has drawn conclusion after appreciation of evidence.

Learned counsel for the applicant/appellant has failed to draw attention of the Court to any substantive error or perversity in the judgment passed.

Hence, no ground to grant special leave to appeal is made out.

Dismissed.

22.11.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No