

Crl. Misc. No. A-2295-MA of 2016

Date of Decision: November 27, 2019

Chotte Lal

.....Appellant

versus

Gulab Chand Yadav

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the appellant

Mr. Surinder Dagar, Advocate
for the respondent

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The complaint was dismissed on the ground that the complainant-appellant had failed to establish when and how he had advanced a sum of Rs. 4.5 lacs to the accused-respondent.

I have gone through the impugned judgment with the assistance of learned counsel for the parties and I find that the trial Court has given cogent reasons for dismissal of the complaint.

Learned counsel for the appellant has not been able to dislodge the findings of the trial Court and, thus, there is no reason to interfere therewith.

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

November 27, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**