

227

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.574 of 2019
Date of Decision: 20.09.2019**

Raj Kapoor Malik

Petitioner

Versus

Deepinder Singh Dhesi, IAS, Chief Secretary to Government of
Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present:

Mr. B.S. Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjiv Majra, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 06.09.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No.20046 of 2017.

Reply by way of affidavit annexing memo dated 04.09.2019 has been filed today in the Court, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. Relevant portion of the memo annexed with the reply is reproduced as under:-

“The matter was placed before the Pradhikaran in its 118th meeting held on 10/12.07.2019 with the proposal that the eligibility criteria for allotment of a particular size of plot to the Defence Personnel, depending upon their ranks, which was set-aside by the Hon'ble High Court/Apex Court may be dispensed with for future floatations. The Pradhikaran has approved the proposal with the stipulation that if the number of applications are more than the available plots of defence category then e-auction may be held between the applicants. A copy of Agenda alongwith extract of the decision of the Pradhikaran is sent herewith.

You are requested to take further action in the matter accordingly and action taken report may be sent to this office immediately. These instructions may be brought to the notice of all concerned.”

In view of reply filed, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law if some grievance still survives.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

September 20, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No