

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9033-2019

Date of Decision:-24.05.2019.

Nishant Singh Tomar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryan and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for
Mr. Manish Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.14 dated 07.03.2017 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women, Panchkula, District Panchkula and proceedings emanating therefrom, on the basis of compromise/settlement (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 placed on record the affidavit of respondent No.2-Rajni Rattan dated 20.05.2019 wherein she has admitted the factum of compromise.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Rajni Rattan wife of Nishant Singh Tomar. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise/settlement Annexure P-2.

Vide order dated 09.04.2019, the parties were directed to appear

compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panchkula, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter, without any coercion or pressure.

Counsel for the State and respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners and respondent No.2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.14 dated 07.03.2017 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women, Panchkula, District Panchkula and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

May 24, 2019.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.