

**345 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14633-1998

Date of decision: 29.11.2019

Gagandeep

.....Petitioner.

vs.

State Bank of India and ors

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Gupta, Advocate for respondents.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

By way of this writ petition, the writ petitioner assails the action of the State Bank of India in not providing him a compassionate appointment. The father of the petitioner died in harness on 24.11.1990. No interim orders were granted in this writ petition.

There is no representation for the petitioner or his learned counsel when the matter is taken up for hearing.

In the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in *1994 (3) RSJ Page 317*, titled *Umesh Kumar Nagpal vs. State of Haryana*, compassionate appointment cannot be granted after lapse of a reasonable period. The failure of the petitioner and his learned counsel to appear before this Court, despite the pendency of this matter for over two decades, clearly shows that they have no longer any interest in pursuing this litigation.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for non prosecution.

No order as to costs.

**(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE**

29.11.2019