

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(124)

CWP-5658-2019

Date of Decision: March 01, 2019

Tarlochan Lal

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Priyanka Sud, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that she be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action by challenging the appropriate Clause of the policy, according to which full reimbursement has not been given to the petitioner despite the fact that it was a case of an emergency and as per the settled principle of law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Writ Petition No.694 of 2015, decided on 13.04.2018, in case of an emergency, an employee is entitled for full reimbursement.

Dismissed as withdraw with liberty as prayed for.

March 01, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No