

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4465 of 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 20th FEBRUARY, 2019

Neetu Rani & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

* * * *

Present : Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (Oral)

Rule returnable forthwith.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vivek Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Heard forthwith with consent of counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has supplied three copies of the present writ petition, which have been accepted by learned counsel for the State on our instructions.

The issue involved in the petition is limited to the extent of the Government/appropriate authority being asked to decide the representation in the form of present writ petition.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners for some time, we think it would be appropriate to relegate the petitioners to ask for redressal of the grievance made in the present writ petition from the competent authority.

In that view of the matter, we direct the competent authority to decide the representation in the form of the present writ petition, copies of which have been delivered to the State counsel, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners shall supply the copy of this order to the appropriate authority.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

20th FEBRUARY, 2019
‘raj’

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>