

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision:21.02.2019

Jai Karan (since deceased) through LRs ... Appellant

Vs.

Kaushlya Dass ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- None.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

This Court, vide order dated 05.09.2018 passed the following order:-

“Counsel states that many of the LRs of original appellant have also passed away. This is mentioned in the report also.

Counsel seeks two weeks time to do the needful.

Adjourned to 24.9.2018.”

Learned counsel for the appellant sought time for bringing on record the legal representatives of the appellant.

It appears that appellant is not coming forward to pursue the matter, therefore, the order could not be complied with, nor any application in this regard has been filed. The appellant must have reconcile with the situation as the appeal is at the instance of the defendant in the suit for possession of land and mesne profit which was dismissed by the trial Court but decreed by the Lower Appellate Court.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 21, 2019
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No