

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-A-1504-MA-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.11.2019**

Parshotam Lal

...Appellant

Versus

Chander Kanta and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

CRM-36048-2019

For the reasons stated in the application, application for grant of special leave to appeal is restored to its original number.

CRM stands disposed of.

Main case

With the consent of learned counsel for the appellant, case is taken up for final disposal.

Special leave to appeal has been sought against the judgment dated 03.05.2016 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, dismissing the criminal complaint under Sections 506, 341, 148, 149 IPC and under Sections 3 and 4 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

The learned Additional Sessions Judge has found that the presence of alleged eye witness is doubtful. Two other alleged eye witnesses namely Swaran Chand and wife of the complainant have not been examined. Still further, it has been found that there was no intention to

insult.

Learned counsel for the appellant although made sincere attempt, however, failed to convince the court. The judgment passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge is based on appreciation of evidence and the view taken by the court does not suffer from any perversity or material irregularity.

In view thereof, this Court does not find any ground to grant special leave to appeal.

Dismissed.

21.11.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No