

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-A-1592-MA-2018 (O&M)
Date of Order:04.04.2019**

Ranjit Singh

..Appellant

Versus

Jagar Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Leave to appeal has been sought under Section 378(4) of the
Code of Criminal Procedure.

The appeal is against acquittal. On the reading of the judgment,
it is apparent that the court has given cogent reasons on appreciation of
evidence to pass the impugned judgment. It has been noticed that 8 persons
were attributed specific role and injuries to each of them, however, only one
injury i.e 3x1 cm lacerated wound over left parietal region was found.

Although, learned counsel appearing for the appellant has made
sincere attempt, however, could not draw attention of the court to any
perversity or substantive misreading of evidence.

Leave to appeal declined.

**April 04, 2019
nt**

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No