

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.2031 of 1988 (O&M)
Date of Decision.14.03.2019**

Shiv Dayal and others ...Appellants

Vs

Bal Kishan ...Respondent

2. C.R. No.2587 of 1992

Bal Kishan ...Petitioner

Vs

Shiv Dayal and another ...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate
for the appellants in RSA No.2031 of 1988
and for respondents in C.R. No.2587 of 1992.

Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner in C.R. No.2587 of 1992 and
for the respondent in RSA No.2031 of 1988.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellants submitted that both the cases have become infructuous as the parties have arrived at compromise.

Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the factum of compromise.

Both the regular second appeal and the revision petition are dismissed as having become infuctuous, in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

March 14, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether Reasoned/Speaking	No
Whether Reportable	No