

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7659 of 2019

Date of decision: May 03, 2019

Randhir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Vikas Rohal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Susheel Gautam, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.1373 dated 08.10.2018, under Sections 167, 420, 465 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat.

On 22.02.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Mr. Susheel Gautam, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of the complainant. The same is taken on record.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner contends that entire record is available with the Engineering College and the same has been duly recovered by the Investigating Officer.

Learned Counsel for the complainant seeks time to apprise the Court about the total number of cases pending between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as complainant.

Adjourned to 29.03.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim

bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Ramesh Chand has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order dated 22.02.2019 and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 22.02.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

May 03, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**

Whether Reportable: **No**