

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7322 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:-03.07.2019

Kamlesh Rani and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State Bank of India

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. G.S. Madaan, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

As per pleadings on record Sh. Amir Chand Narula holds a pension account with the State Bank of India. He is stated to be suffering from a serious health/medical condition and as such is unable to operate his Bank Account.

The instant writ petition is at the hands of the wife and son respectively of Sh. Amit Chand Narula, seeking a mandamus directing the respondent-State Bank of India to permit them to operate the pension account bearing No.55073921701 as the sums are to be utilized for providing treatment and care to the account holder.

As per counsel such arrangement is permissible as per RBI rules/regulations. It is contended that inspite of representation having been made no positive response is forth coming from the Bank.

Having argued the matter at some length, counsel seeks withdrawal of the petition so as to approach the Banking Ombudsman as

regards the grievance of the petitioners.

Prayer is allowed.

Writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

It is however made clear that this Court has not examined the prayer of the petitioners on merits.

Needless to observe that in the eventuality of the petitioners approach the Banking Ombudsman it would be expected that the representation submitted, would be dealt with as per applicable norms/regulations and expeditiously

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

03.07.2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No