

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-144-2019

Date of Decision:-2.5.2019

Reena

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel has today filed a status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Amit Kumar, DSP, Naringarh, District Ambala on behalf of respondent No.3, which is taken on record. Another status report by way of affidavit of Ms. Sharandeep Kaur Brar, District Magistrate has been filed on behalf of respondent No.2, which is also taken on record.
2. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking issuance of the writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus seeking release of alleged detenues, the particulars of which are mentioned in para No.3 of the petition.
3. Vide order dated 6.2.2019, notice of motion had been issued. The District Magistrate in her affidavit has informed that pursuant to

directions issued by this Court a committee was constituted to look into the matter and that the brick kiln in question was thoroughly checked by the committee and no evidence of any illegal detention/bonded labour was found. A perusal of the inquiry report annexed with the said affidavit shows that during the course of spot inspection on 12.2.2019, Mohamad Ikram, Sharmila, Nagma, Aman, Sanit, Mentlesh, Nitin, Aarti, Bablu, Pinki, Awani, Shakti, Roopa, Kalu, Krishma, Shankuntala, Cooki, vishvas, Seva, Priyanka, Mahavir, Preeti, Hera Lal were found at the brick kiln and who stated that they have not been kept as bonded labour. The affidavit is also accompanied by a joint statement of 37 labourers who were stated to be illegally detained by the petitioner. However, in their joint statement they have stated that they had came to the brick kiln about 1 ½ months back to work there on their own accord, but since the soil of the brick kiln does not suit them they are going back to their village along with their family and that they are not bonded at the brick kiln. They have further stated that nothing is pending or due against the brick kiln owner.

4. In view of the aforestated report, no further orders are required to be issued. The petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

2.5.2019
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No